Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

# Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02455 01 OF 02 061719Z

46
ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 NEA-14 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 ACDA-19 AEC-11 OMB-01 SS-20 NSC-07 DRC-01 /144 W
---------- 049041
R 061511Z MAY 74
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5511
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN
USNMR SHAPE
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 2455

E.O. 11652: GDS-12/31/80
TAGS: PFOR, NATO
SUBJECT: NPG FUTURE WORK PROGRAM

REF: (A) USNATO 2429; (B) STATE 86379

BEGIN SUMMARY: SYG LUNS' PO ON NPG FUTURE WORK PROGRAM (PO/74/50--POUCHED TO STATE AND DEFENSE) IS PROVIDED IN PARAS 1-3 BELOW. SYG LUNS STATES IN HIS COVER NOTE THAT HE WOULD APPRECIATE PERM REPS VIEWS AND SUGGESTIONS ON THE FUTURE ACTIVITY OF THE NPG AT THE 16 MAY NPG PERM REPS MEETING (REF (A)). ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON COMMENTS ON SYG LUNS PO PRIOR TO 13 MAY STAFF GROUP MEETING, WHEN SUBJECT WILL BE REVIEWED IN PREPARATION FOR PERM REPS MEETING. MISSION CALLS WASHINGTON ATTENTION TO PARA 4 IN PARTICULAR WHICH ADDRESSES ILLUSTRATIVE STUDIES. WE ASSUME GUIDANCE PROVIDED REF (B) CONTINUES TO APPLY. HOWEVER, WE BELIEVE MOST DELEGATIONS WILL SUPPORT PREPARATION OF NEW ILLUSTRATIVE STUDIES. ASSUMING THIS IS THE CASE, WE WOULD APPRECIATE WASHINGTON VIEWS ON SPECIFIC SUBJECT OR SUBJECTS WHICH US WOULD WANT MILITARY AUTHORITIES TO ADDRESS IN A CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 02455 01 OF 02 061719Z

NEW ILLUSTRATIVE STUDY. END SUMMARY.

1. BEGIN TEXT OF COVER NOTE:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

FROM: SECRETARY GENERAL

NUCLEAR PLANNING GROUP

FUTURE WORK PROGRAMME

AS YOU WILL HAVE NOTED ON THE DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE
SPRING NPG MINISTERIAL MEETING(1)N IT IS MY INTENTION TO FOLLOW
THE APPROACH USED AT THE LAST MINISTERIAL MEETING BY CIRCULATING
A PO TO SET THE STAGE FOR THE REVIEW OF THE FUTURE WORK PROGRAMME
BY THE NPG MINISTERS. YOUR VIEWS AND SUGGESTIONS ON THE FUTURE
ACTIVITY OF THE NPG WOULD BE OF GREAT HELP TO ME IN PREPARING
THIS PO AND I SUGGEST THAT WE MIGHT DISCUSS THE SUBJECT AT THE
MEETING OF THE NPG PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES SCHEDULED FOR
16TH MAY, 1974. TO PROVIDE A POINT OF DEPARTURE FOR OUR DISCUSSION,
I HAVE ATTACHED A BRIEF SUMMARY OF POSSIBLE NPG WORK.

2. IN REVIEWING THE FUTURE WORK PROGRAMME, IT IS IMPORTANT
TO KEEP IN MIND THAT IT VERY OFTEN TAKES A YEAR OR MORE TO DEVELOPM
A STUDY OR A REPORT TO THE STAGE WHERE IT IS READY FOR MINISTERIAL
CONSIDERATION. IN THIS CONNECTION, PAST EXPERIENCE HAS SHOWN THAT,
IF A STUDY FROM OTHER SOURCES IS TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY AN NPG
PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES' REPORT, IT SHOULD BE COMPLETED AND
DISTRIBUTED TO THE NPG MEMBER COUNTRIES NO LESS THAN ABOUT THREE
MONTHS BEFORE THE DATE OF THE MINISTERIAL MEETING IN ORDER TO
ALLOW FOR PREPARATION AND TIMELY CIRCULATION OF THE PERMANENT
REPRESENTATIVES' DOCUMENT. IT FOLLOWS FROM THE FOREGOING THAT

---

(1) NPG/WP(74)3, 5 APRIL, 1974

---

WORK HAS TO BE INITIATED WELL IN ADVANCE OF THE DATE IT IS
EXPECTED TO BE ON A MINISTERIAL AGENDA. PLANNING MUST ALSO
TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT IT IS DIFFICULT IN MANY CASES TO ESTIMATE
ACCURATELY HOW LONG IT WILL TAKE TO COMPLETE A STUDY AND HENCE TO
PREDICT WITH CONFIDENCE THE PARTICULAR MEETING AT WHICH IT WOULD
BE AVAILABLE FOR MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION. CONSEQUENTLY, ANY
ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATES MENTIONED IN THE ATTACHED SUMMARY
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 02455 01 OF 02 061719Z

SHOULD BE TREATED WITH CAUTION AND NOT VIEWED AS FIRM FORECASTS.
(SIGNED) JOSEPH M.A.H. LUNSEND TEXT.

2. BEGIN TEXT OF SUMMARY OF NPG WORK:

SUMMARY OF POSSIBLE NPG FUTURE WORK

1. FOLLOW-ON USE WORK PROGRAMME. PHASE I OF THIS PROGRAMME
(PREPARATION OF BASIC DATA INPUTS) WAS COMPLETED IN 1973 AND PHASE II
(COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BASIC DATA, SYNTHESIS OF FINDINGS AND
IDENTIFICATION OF POLICY QUESTIONS) IS NOW IN PROGRESS. IN
CONFORMITY WITH THE APPROACH ADOPTED(1), AN AD HOC STUDY TEAM
(US,UK AND FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY) IS PREPARING A PRELIMINARY

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

DRAFT OF A PHASE II DREPORT WHICH, ACCORDING TO ITS MOST RECENT STATUS REPORT(2), THE STUDY TEAM EXPECTS TO CIRCULATE SOME TIME IN THE SUMMER OF THIS YEAR. IT APPEARS VERY LIKELY THAT STUDY TEAM DISCUSSION OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT WITH THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES AND SUBSEQUENT PREPARATION OF THE FINAL STUDY TEAM REPORT CAN BE COMPLETED IN TIME TO PERMIT IT TO BE PLACED ON A MINISTERIAL AGENDA IN 1975. IT IS EXPECTED THAT THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES WILL WISH TO SUBMIT AN ACCOMPANYING REPORT WHICH, AMONG OTHER THINGS, MIGHT MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE MINISTERS CONCERNING THE INITIATION OF PHASE III OF THE WORK PROGRAMME (FORMULATION, AS APPROPRIATE, OF PROPOSED POLITIAL GUIDELINES FOR FOLLOW-ON TACTICAL USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS FOR ENDORSEMENT BY THE NUCLEAR DEFENSE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE (NDAC) AND APPROVAL BY THE DEFENCE PLANNING COMMITTEE (DPC)). AS A RELATED MATER,IT IS RECALLED THAT THE NPG MINISTERS, WHEN THEY INAUGURATED THE WORK PROGRAMME IN 1969, INVITED THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES TO PROPOSE, AS APPROPRIATE, FURTHER WORK WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE PROGRAMME. NO SPECIFIC INITIATIVES IN THIS REGARD HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED. ACCORDINGLY, THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES MAY WISH TO CONFIRM THAT NO PROPOSALS WOULD BE SUBMITTED FOR MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION AT THE NEXT MEETING.

---

(1) NPG/D(72)5, 24 APRIL 1972, PARAGRAPH 8
(2) ATTACHED HERETO

---

2. IMPLICATIONS OF TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS. AT THE LAST NPG MEETING IN THE HAGUE, THE MINISTERS INVITED THE PERMANENT CONFIDENTIAL



REPRESENTATIVES TO ARRANGE FOR THE PREPARATION OF A STUDY OF THE POLITICAL AND MILITARY IMPLICATIONS OF TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS CONCERNING THE TACTICAL USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS(3). THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES HAVE COMMISSIONED AN AD HOC GROUP, COMPOSED OF THE TWELVE NPG COUNTRIES UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF THE UNITED STATES, TO CARRY OUT THE STUDY EFFORT(4). SINCE THE TECHNOLOGY STUDY GROUP IS JUST BEGINNING TO ORGANIZE ITSELF AND HAS NOT YET STARTED ANY SUBSTANTIVE WORK, NO PREDICTIONS CAN BE MADE AS TO WHEN AND IN WHAT FORM THE RESULTS OF ITS EFFORTS WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION. AS A RELATED MATTER, IT IS RECALLED THAT THE UNITED STATES SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WILL BRIEF HIS COLLEAGUES AT BERGEN ON US RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT WITH RESPECT TO NUCLEAR WEAPONS FOR TACTICAL USE.

---

(E) NPG/D(73)16, 8TH NOVEMBER 1973, PARAGRAPH 30
(4) NPG/D(74)3, 10 APRIL 1974

---

3. CONSULTATION PROCEDURES AND FACILITIES. THREE SPECIFIC TOPICS IN THIS AREA ARE SUBJECT TO FURTHER INVESTIGATION IN THE NPG. EACH OF THESE TOPICS HAS BEEN RAISED AT ONE OR MORE MMINISTERIAL MEETINGS IN THE PAST.

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

(A) ATOMAL CLASSIFICATION. THE NPG HAS PREVIOUSLY
INDICATED A NEED TO EXPLORE THE IMPLICATINS FLOWING FROM
THE REQUIREMENT TO USE THE ATOMAL CLASSIFICATION FOR
CERTAIN NUCLEAR CONSULTATIO TRAFFIC(1), BUT HAS
DEFERRED FUTTHER EXAMINATION OF THE MATTER UNTIL
REVISED CLASSIFICATION GUIDELINES HAVE BEEN
PROMULGATED(2). THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING REVISED
GUIDELINES IS STILL IN PROGRESS AND NO FIRM FORECASTS
CAN BE MADE CONCERNING WHEN IT MAY BE COMPLETED.

(B) WINTEX 73. AFTER DISCUSSING A REPORT BY THE PERMANENT
REPRESENTATIVES CONTAINING SOME PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS
ON WINTEX 73, THE MINISTERS AT THEIR ANKARA MEETING
LAST YEAR ASKED(3) THE NPG PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES
TO REVIEW THE FINAL WINTEX 73 REPORTS AND TO COMMENT,
IF APPROPRIATE, ON NUCLEAR CONSULTATION ASPECTS.
ALTHOUGH THESE FINAL REPORTS ARE NOT YET AVAILABLE,
---
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NATO 02455 02 OF 02 061816Z

46
ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 NEA-14 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 ACDA-19 AEC-11 OMB-01 SS-20 NSC-07 DRC-01 /144 W
---------- 049632
R 061511Z MAY 74
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5512
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN
USNMR SHAPE
USLOS
CLANT
CINCLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 2455

(C) COMPILATION OF NUCLEAR CONSULTATION PROCEDURES. AT
THEIR LAST MEETING AT THE HAGUE, THE MINISTERS INVITED
THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES TO DEVELOP
COMPILIATION
OF NUCLEAR CONSULTATION PROCEDURES(4). WORK HAS
STARTED IN THE NPG STAFF GROUP TO DEVELOP A DRAFT
COMPILATION FOR PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES' CONSIDERA-
TION IN LATE 1974 OR EARLY 1975. IN THIS CONNECTION,
IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE COMPILATION WOULD NOT
NECESSARILY BE PLACED ON A MINISTERIAL AGENDA.

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

4. ILLUSTRATIVE STUDIES. WITH A VIEW TOWARD PROMOTING THE USEFUL DIALOGUE BETWEEN POLITICAL AUTHORITIES AND THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES, THE MINISTERS AT THEIR MEETING IN ANKARA IN MAY 197E INVITED THECHAIRMAN OF THE MILITARY COMMITTEE TO ARRANGE FOR THE MAJOR NATO COMMANDERS TO PREPARE ADDITIONAL ILLUSTRATIVE STUDIES FOR MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION AT FUTURE MEETINGS(5). IN RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST, SHAPE HAS PREPARED AN ILLUSTRATIVE STUDY DEALING WITH AIR DEFENCE WHICH IS LIKELY TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE MINISTERS AT THEIR AUTUMN 1974 MEETING.
CONFIDENTIAL



---
(4) NPG/D(73)16, PARA 23
(5) NPG/D(73)7, PARA 22
---
THIS IS, HOWEVER, THE ONLY STUDY NOW IN POSSESSION OF THE NPG THAT THE MINISTERS HAVE NOT DISCUSSED. ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE NPG MINISTERS WILL WISH TO RETAIN THE OPTION OF DISCUSSING OTHER ILLUSTRATIVE STUDIES AT MEETINGS AFTER 1974 AND RECOGNIZING THE CONSIDERABLE LEAD TIME INVOLVED IN THEIR PREPARATION, THE SECRETARY GENERAL SHOULD, IN HIS CAPACITY AS CHAIRMAN OF THE NPG, SEND A REQUEST IN THE NEAR FUTURE TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE MILITARY COMMITTEE TO ARRANGE FOR A MAJOR NATO COMMANDER TO UNDERTAKE THE REPA-
RATION OF AN ILLUSTRATIVE STUDY TO BE AVAILABLE FOR POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION AT TIEHTER MINISTERIAL MEETING IN 1975. THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES MAY WISH TO INDICATE THEIR PREFERENCES, IF ANY, CONCERNING THE PARTICULAR KIND OF NUCLEAR WEAPON USE THAT SHOULD BE COVERED IN THE NEXT ILLUSTRATIVE STUDY. IN THE GENERAL CONTEXT OF THIS ACTIVITY,IT IS SUGGESTED

THAT, FOR PLANNING PURPOSES, MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION OF A STUDY ON THE AVERAGE OF ONCE A YEAR MIGHT BE CONTEMPLATED, SUBJECT TO ADJUSTMENT IF OTHER NPG PRODUCTS, SUCH AS THE FOLLOW-ON USE STUDIES, BECOME AVAILABLE TO PROVIDE AN ALTERNATIVE PLATFORM FOR DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN POLITICAL AUTHORITIES AND THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF COMMUNICATING NATO'S INTENTIONS. AT THEIR MEETING IN ANKARA IN MAY 1973, THE NPG MINISTERS INVITED THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES TO INITIATE A STUDY ON THE IMPLICATIONS OF COMMUNICATING NATO'S INTENTIONS TO AN ENEMY, TO OTHERCOUNTRIES AND TO THE PUBLIC, AND ON THE RELATED PROCEDURAL MATTERS(1). IN DECEMBER 1973, IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT THE WORK SHOULD BE DONE DIRECTLY UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES. THE STAFF GROUP IS DEVELOPING A DRAFT FOR PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES' CONSIDERATION, WITH A VIEW TOWARD HAVING A REPORT AVAILABLE FOR THE MINISTERIAL
MEETING IN THE AUTUMN OF 1974.

6. IMPLEMENTA-

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

TION OF SPECIAL
CONFIDENTIAL



DM GUIDELINES. IN FULFILMENT
OF THEIR CONTINUING TASK (2) OF MONITORING THE PROGRESS BEING MADE
IN IMPLEMENTING THE SPECIAL POLITICAL GUIDELINES FOR THE POSSIBLE
USE OF ATOMIC DEMOLITION MUNITIONS (ADMS)(3), THE NPG PERMANENT
REPRESENTATIVES PREPARED STA-
TUS REPORTS IN 1971, 1972 AND 1973.
THE MOST RECENT OF THESE REPORTS INDICATED THAT FURTHER PROGRESS
WAS STILL REQUIRED AND THE MINISTERS, AT THEIR MEETING AT THE
HAGUE, URGED COUNTRIES CONCERNED AND THE NAT MILITARY AUTHORITIES
TO TAKE THAT ACTION NECESSARY FOR FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
SPECIAL
ADM GUIDELINES(4). IT IS THEREFORE SUGGESTED THAT IT WOULD
BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES TO REVIEW THE
STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION SOME TIME IN 1975.

7. FUNCTION OF THE MILITARY COMMITTEE IN THE NUCLEAR
CONSULTA-
TION PROCESS. AT
THE ANKARA MEETING IN MAY 1973, THE
MINISTERS INVITED THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES TO REVIEW THE
FUNCTION OF THE MILITARY COMMITTEE IN THE NUCLEAR CONSULTA-
TION
PROCESS(5). THE CURRENT DRAFT OF THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES'
--------
-----
-
-
----------

-
----------
-

(1) NPG/D(73)7, PARA 16
(2) NPG/D(70)12, 4TH NOVEMBER 1970 PARA 21
(3) DPC/D(70)60, 10TH DECEMBER 1970
(4) NPG/D(73)16, PARA 25
(5) NPG/D(73)7, PARA 17
----------
RESPONSE(1) RECOMMENDS THAT THE NPG MINISTERS SEND A REPORT ON
THIS SUBJECT TO THE DPC VIA THE NDAC, BUT MAKES NO SPECIFIC
CONFIDENTIAL



PROPOSALS FOR FURTHER NPG WORK. UNLESS THE DPC OR THE NDAC
RAISE QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE REPORT, OR UNLESS FUTURE
EXERCISE EXPERIENCE SO INDICATES, IT IS NOT EXPECTED THAT THERE
WILL BE A NEED FOR FURTHER NPG CONSIDERATION OF THIS SUBJECT.

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

---

(1) NPG/WP(74)4, 22 APR 1974

8. WARSAW PACT POLITICO-MILITARY STRATEGY AND MILITARY DOCTRINE. AT THE REQUEST OF THE MINISTERS AT ANKARA(2), ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE FOR THE PREPARATION OF A STUDY ON THIS SUBJECT BY AN AD HOC GROUP UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF THE NETHERLANDS. THE STUDY(3) WITH AN ACCOMPANYING PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES' REPORT WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE MINISTERS AT BERGEN. THE CURRENT DRAFT OF THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES' REPORT(4) RECOMMENDS THAT THE NPG MINISTERS FORWARD THE STUDY, WITH SOME RELATED COMMENTS, TO THE DPC VIA THE NDAC WITH THE SUGGESTION THAT THE DPC INVITE NATIONAL AUTHORITIES TO CONTRIBUTE ON A CONTINUING BASIS TOWARD IMPROVING NATO UNDER-STANDING OF WARSAW PACT POLITICO-MILITARY STRATEGY AND MILITARY DOCTRINE FOR THE TACTICAL USE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS. WHILE NO SPECIFIC NPG FOLLOW-UP EFFORT IN THIS REGARD IS FORESEEN AT THE MOMENT, IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE NPG WOULD WISH TO BE ALERT TO THIS CONTINUING REQUIREMENT AND BE READY TO MAKE APPROPRIATE CONTRIBUTIONS IF THE OPPORTUNITY ARISES.

9. GENERAL POLITICAL ASPECTS OF EARLY COMBINED USE.

9. GENERAL POLITICAL ASPECTS OF EARLY COMBINED USE.

WHEN THE MINISTERS AT THEIR MEETING AT THE HAGUE DISCUSSED A

STUDY ON EARLY COMBINED USE, THEY AGREE THAT A NUMBER OF

GENERAL POLITICAL ASPECTS OF EARLY COMBINED USE SHOULD B CONSIDERED IN ADVANCE OF THE OUTBREAK OF HOSTILITIES AND INVITED THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES TO CONSIDER WHETHER A STUDY ON THIS SUBJECT SHOULD BE INITIATED(5). AN EXAMINATION OF THIS QUESTIN LLEADS TO A CONCLUSION THAT, BECAUSE OF THE DIFFICULTY OF FORECASTING THE CONDITIONS THAT WOULD EXIST IN A PA-
RTICULAR FUTURE SITUATION, PREPARATION AT THIS TIME
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05  NATO 02455  02 OF 02  061816Z

OF A
STUDY OF THE GENERAL POLITICAL ASPECTS IS NOT LIKELY TO ADD MUCH TO THE VIEWS ALREADY EXPRESSED IN A PREVIOUS REPORT BY THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVES(6). IT IS STRESSED, HOWEVER, THAT NATO SHOULD, IN A DEVELOPING CRISIS WELL IN ADVANCE OF THE OUTBREAK OF HOSTILITIES, BEGIN ASSESSING THE GENERAL POLITICAL ASPECTS OF POSSIBLE EARLY COMBINED USE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE REAL SITUATION. THIS CONCLUSION DOES NOT MODIFY THE ACKNOWLEDGED VALIDITY OF EA
RLY COMBINED USE AS AN OPTION OPEN TO NATO WITHIN THE CURRENT STRATEGY, OR AFFECT THE CAPABILITY OF THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES TO CONTINUE TO PLAN FOR THE EXERCISE OF THIS OPTION IF NATO SHOULD ELECT TO DO SO IN CASE OF

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

AGGRESSION(7).
---------- -
(2) NPG/D(73)7, PARA 9
(3) NPG/STUDY/45, 8TH MARCH 1974
(4) NPG/WP(74)5, 30 THE APRIL 1974
(5) NPG/D(73)16, PARA 19
(6) NPG/D(73)12, 9TH OCT 1973, PARA 16, 18
(7) NPG/D(73)16, PARA 16, 17

10. CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS OF NUCLEAR ASPECTS OF DETERRENCE.
AT ANKARA IN MAY 1973, THE MINISTERS INVITED THE PERMANENT
REPRESENTATIVES TO CONSIDER THE VALUE OF A CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS
OF THE NUCLEAR
ASPECTS OF DETERRENCE(1). THE PERMANENT
REPRESENTATIVES HAVE CONCLUDED THAT, IN VIEW OF THE CONTINUING
ATTENTION THAT IS BEING PAID TO DEEPENING AND REFINING NATO'S
UNDERSTANDING THAT HAVE BEEN AND WILL BE MADE BY NPG
EFFORTS IN OTHER FIELDS, SUCH AS THE FOLLOW-ON USE WORK
PROGRAMME, LITTLE MORE WOULD BE GAINED BY THE INITIATION OF
A SEPARATE CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS OF THE NUCLEAR ASPECTS OF
DETERRENCE AT THIS TIME(2). WHENEVER APPROPRIATE, THIS SUBJECT

WILL CONTINUE TO BE COVERED IN THE COURSE OF OTHER NPG WORK IN
THE FUTURE.
---
---
(1) NPG/D'73)7, PARA 21
(2) NPG/D(74)2, 29 MARCH 1974 PARA 6
CONFIDENTIAL



END TEXT.

3. BEGIN TEXT OF PHASE II STUDY TEAM REPORT:

PHASE II STUDY TEAM REPORT
STATUS AS OF 24TH APRIL 1974

1. SINCE ITS LAST STATUS REPORT OF 15TH SEPTEMBER, 1973,
THE AD HOC STUDY TEAM HAS MET TWICE, IN LONDON ON 22ND TO
24TH JANUARY AND IN BONN ON 2ND TO 4TH APRIL. AT THESE MEETINGS

THE STUDY TEAM COMPLETED ITS PREPARATION OF WORKING PAPERS ON

TIMING AND SCALE OF USE, ASPECTS OF DETERRENCE, ENEMY RESPONSE,

MILITARY EFFECTIVENESS, WEAPONRY, FUNCTION OF CONVENTIONAL
FORCES, COLLATERAL DAMAGE, USE IN AN EXTENDED GEOGRAPHICAL AREA,

RELA-
TIONSHIP OF FOLLOW-ON USE TO INITIAL USE, AND POLITICAL
CONTROL. IT WAS DECIDED THAT THE WORKING PAPERS NEED NOT BE
SUBJECT TO FINAL REVISION AND AGREEMENT, BUT THAT THEIR MAIN

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

CONCLUSIONS SHOULD BE INCORPORATED IN THE STUDY TEAM'S
PRELIMINARY REPORT.

2. THE STUDY TEAM ALSO CONSIDERED AT THE LONDON
MEETING A FIRST DRAFT OF THE PRELIMINARY REPORT WHICH WAS
SUBSEQUENTLY REVISED AND RECONSIDERED AT THE BONN MEETING.
THIS DRAFT IS NOW BEING SUBJECTED TO FURTHER REVISION, AND
IN VIEW OF THE PROGRESS WHICH HAS BEEN MADE IT IS NOW HOPED
THAT IT WILL BE POSSIBLE TO SUBMIT A DRAFT FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE OTHER NPG NATIONS SOME TIME IN THE SUMMER OF 1974.
END TEXT. MCAULIFFE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

# Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X
**Capture Date:** 11 JUN 1999
**Channel Indicators:** n/a
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED
**Concepts:** n/a
**Control Number:** n/a
**Copy:** SINGLE
**Draft Date:** 06 MAY 1974
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960
**Decaption Note:**
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED
**Disposition Approved on Date:**
**Disposition Authority:** morefirh
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004
**Disposition Event:**
**Disposition History:** n/a
**Disposition Reason:**
**Disposition Remarks:**
**Document Number:** 1974ATO02455
**Document Source:** ADS
**Document Unique ID:** 00
**Drafter:** n/a
**Enclosure:** n/a
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS-12/31/80
**Errors:** n/a
**Film Number:** n/a
**From:** NATO
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a
**Image Path:**
**ISecure:** 1
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740587/abbryusi.tel
**Line Count:** 446
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE
**Office:** n/a
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a
**Page Count:** 9
**Previous Channel Indicators:**
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a
**Reference:** (A) USNATO 2429; (B) STATE 86379
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED
**Review Authority:** morefirh
**Review Comment:** n/a
**Review Content Flags:**
**Review Date:** 21 MAR 2002
**Review Event:**
**Review Exemptions:** n/a
**Review History:** RELEASED <21 MAR 2002 by morefirh>; APPROVED <21 MAR 2002 by morefirh>
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**
**Review Referrals:** n/a
**Review Release Date:** n/a
**Review Release Event:** n/a
**Review Transfer Date:**
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a
**Secure:** OPEN
**Status:** NATIVE
**Subject:** NPG FUTURE WORK PROGRAM
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO
**To:** STATE
SECDEF INFO USCINCEUR VAIHINGEN
USNMR SHAPE
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT
**Type:** TE
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005